



JPW AF

Form: PTO/SB/17 (Modified)

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No.	95-466	
	Application Number	09/921,780	
	Filing Date	August 6, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	SANKAR	
	Group Art Unit	2178	
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	\$ 0	Examiner Name	STORK, Kyle R.

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/2003)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	44	52	0 ⁽³⁾	X \$18.00 =	\$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	4	0	X \$88.00 =	\$0
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of _____, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$420); 3 months (\$950); 4 months (\$1,480); 5 months (\$2,010)):					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					+
Total of above Calculations =					\$0
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					-
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$0

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.

AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.:

50-1130

OrderNo.: (Client/Matter)

95-466

SUBMITTED BY: LEON R. TURKEVICH, ESQ.

Typed Name	Leon R. Turkevich	Reg. No.	34,035
Signature		Date	December 20, 2005



Docket No.: 95-466

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE UNDER
37 CFR §1.116**

SANKAR et al.

Serial No.: 09/921,780

Group Art Unit: 2178

Filed: August 6, 2001

Examiner: STORK, Kyle

For: NETWORK ROUTER CONFIGURED FOR EXECUTING NETWORK OPERATIONS
BASED ON PARSING XML TAGS IN A RECEIVED XML DOCUMENT

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Action mailed October 20, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-reference application are respectfully requested. Claims 1, 4-14, 17-27, 30-40, and 43-52 are pending in the application.

The rejection of claims 1, 4-14, 17-27, 30-40 and 43-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abjanic as applied to claims 1, 14, 27 and 40, in view of U.S. Patent Pub No. 2002/0198974 (Shafer) is respectfully traversed.

Further, Applicant objects to the Final Action as incomplete, because it fails to answer all material traversed. (See MPEP §707.07(f) "Where the applicant traverses any rejection, the examiner should, if he or she repeats the rejection, take note of the applicant's argument and *answer the substance of it.*").

Response After Final filed December 20, 2005

Appln. No. 09/921,780

Page 1